STRUCTURE OF COMMITTEE
INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE OF PRIMARY EDUCATION (ICPE)

Responsible: Rector State University of Surabaya
Prof. Dr. Warsono, M.S
Director of PPS Unesa
Prof. Dr. I Ketut Budayasa, Ph.D

Roler: Assistance Director I of PPS Unesa
Prof. Dr. Ismet Basuki, M.Pd
Assistance Director II of PPS Unesa
Prof. Dr. Siti Masitoh, M.Pd

Steering Committee: Prof. Dr. Wahyu Sukartiningsih, M.Pd
Dr. Waspodo Tjipto Subroto, M.Pd

Head of Committee: Ardiyansah Yuliniar Firdaus
Secretary: Supriyati
Accounting: Lilik Wahjoeni
Division of Publication and Accommodation: Solchun
Division of Ceremony: Muhammad Ichsan
Division of Secretary: M. Indra Patmoko
Division of Funding: Azizah Ramadhani Nurfitria
Division of Consumption: Ika Agusin Adityawati
Division of Equipment: Arifin Nur Hayadi
Division of Publication: Ony Dina Maharani
The 1st International Conference of Primary Education

The Role of Primary Education in ASEAN Economic Community and Globalization Era

Reviewer:
Prof. Dr. Wahyu Sukartiningsih, M.Pd (State University of Surabaya)
Prof. Dr. Rosna Awang Hashim (Malaysian North University)
Prof. Andy Plowman (United Kingdom England)
Prof. Madya Dr. Ahmad bin Esa (Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia)
Melissa Marie Whalen, MA., Ph.D (Fordham University)
Dr. Waspodo Tjipto Subroto, M.Pd (State University of Surabaya)
Dr. Jamil bin Abdul Baser (Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia)
Nasution, M. Hum, M.Ed, Ph.D (State University of Surabaya)
Harufumi Miwa, M. Sc (Osaka University)

Editorial Staff:
Ardiyansah Yuliniar Firdaus, Supriyati, Lilik Wahjoeni, Muhammad Ichsan, M. Indra Patmoko, Solehun, Ony Dina Maharani, Arifin Nur Hayadi
Azizah Ramadhani Nurfiria, Ika Agustin Adityawati,

Secretary Address:
Primary Education Program, Postgraduate Program of State University of Surabaya
Building K-4 2th Floor, State University of Surabaya Telp. (031-8293484)
website: http://www.pendidikandasarpascasarjanaunesa.com
http://pendidikandasarpascasarjanaunesa.com/hal-proceeding-icpe-2016-.html
http://e-journal.pendidikandasarpascasarjanaunesa.com/ojs/index.php/3
email : seminter_dikdasunesa2016@yahoo.com

Published 1,000 Copies (CD-ROM)
ISSN : 2527-5623
Copyright ©2016 by Committe ICPE and Postgraduate Program of Primary Education,
State University of Surabaya
# Table of Contents

**Structure of Committee International Conference Of Primary Education (ICPE)**
Pages : i

**Acknowledgements Head of Committee ICPE**
Pages : iii

**The Speech Chairman of Magister of Primary Education, Postgraduate Program, State University of Surabaya**
Pages : iv

**Table of Contens**
Pages : iv

**Transforming Teacher Professionalism in The Era of Globalization and The AEC**
Authors : Rosna Awang Hashim, Amrita Kaur
Pages : 1-7

**Social Studies Education To Prepare Softskill of Student in Asean Economic Community**
Authors : Waspodo Tjipto Subroto
Pages : 8-16

**Issues in Primary School Teaching: Examples of Research in Teaching Graduate Program (PPG) in Malaysia**
Authors : Ahmad bin Esa
Pages : 17-26

**The Role of the Teacher in Classroom Management**
Authors : Melissa Marie Whalen
Pages : 27-30

**The Usability of The Biotechnology in Association of Southeast Asian Nations**
Authors : Harufumi Miwa
Pages : 31-34

**The Implementation of Teacher Graduation Program in University Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia**
Authors : Jamil bin Abd Baser
Pages : 35-39

**Creativity in Teaching Reading to the Third Graders of Elementary School**
Authors : Suhartono
Pages : 40-46
Growing The Entrepreneurship Spirit From Classroom Corner
Authors : Akhmad Gimun
Pages : 47-51

Analizing Concept Inventories and Misconceptions of Organic Evolution’s Material on Student-Teachers Biology
Authors : Lukita Octavia Lukman Putri, Taufik Rahman Didik, Priyandoko
Pages : 52-57

Scout Activity Effects on The Character of Primary School Students in Dealing With Asean Economy Community (AEC)
Authors : Duhita Savira Wardani, Pramudita Anggarani Dinarta, Midya Yuli Amreta
Pages : 58-67

Character Building through The Implementation of Vision and Mission of The School in The Face of Asean Economic Community and Globalization in The Elementary School
Authors : Vera Yuli Erviana, Wahyuni Lestari
Pages : 68-75

Identifications and Problem Factors of Science Teachers Pedagogical Content Knowledge Changes From Operational Curriculum To 2013’s Curriculum
Authors : Tati Nani, Ari Widodo
Pages : 76-80

Analizing Student Science Biology Misconception and Scientific Argumentation Ability Using Diagnostic Question Clusters (DQCs) of Molecular Genetics Concept
Authors : Lela Nurlaila, Siti Sriyati, Riandi
Pages : 81-90

Effectivity of Joyful Learning Model With Multimedia in The Fifth Grade Students on SD Negeri Ajung 3 Jember Academic Year of 2015/2016
Authors : Sri Kantun
Pages : 91-97

Representation of Character Building at The Beginner Stage English Textbook To Face The Era of Asean Economic Community (AEC) and Globalization
Authors : Cindy Irawati
Pages : 98-103

The Children’s Reading Interest and Reading Skill in “Kampoeng Batja” Jember Regency
Authors : Ony Dina Maharani
Pages : 104-109
The Application of Problem Posing in Learning Mathematics in Primary School
Authors: Ria Pusvita Sari, Ria Eka Lestari, Nadia Lutfi Choirunnisa
Pages: 110-117

The Application of Model Learning Value Clarification Technique (VCT) Game to Increase The Activity and Results of Study Civics Class II SDN Lamklat Aceh Besar
Authors: Muhammad Ichsan
Pages: 118-126

Role of The Nation Character Education Quality in Preparing Students in Asean Economic Community and Globalization Era
Authors: Arina Restian
Pages: 127-135

Emotional Intelligence Development Program in Primary Education As A Basis For Preparing High Quality Human Resources Dealing With Asean Economic Community
Authors: Ardiyansah Yuliniar Firdaus, Ika Agustin Adityawati, M. Indra Patmoko
Pages: 136-142

Cili - Based Teaching Process on Primary Education to Approach The Era of Asean Economic Community and Globalization
Authors: Fadilah Umi Maisyaroh
Pages: 143-148

The Application of Problem Based Learning Models in Social Studies Material Social Problems to Improve Student Critical Thinking Skills The IV Grade of SDN Karangtalun Lor, District of Banyumas
Authors: Arifin Nur Hayadi
Pages: 149-156

Developing Problem Based Learning Model-Integrated Thematic Instructional Materials For The Students in Grade IV of Elementary School
Authors: Taufina, Silviana Nasrul
Pages: 157-165

Shaping Character Discipline in The Face of MEA Through Compulsory Hours Program
Authors: Nastiti Mufidah, Dadang Sundawa
Pages: 166-173

Application KMDM Program to Develop Elementary School Students on Tree and Environment in The Era of Asean Economic Community and Globalization
Authors: Indah Nur Mashitha, Kartina RNA, Yulia Aristiyani
Pages: 174-180
The Application of Mind Mapping Learning Process in an Effort to Improve Understanding of Math Courses For Great University Student as Elementary School Teacher Candidate
Authors : Arum Dwi Rahmawati
Pages : 181-184

Communicative Teacher Talk Used By an English Teacher of Primary Education in The Era of AEC and Globalization
Authors : Anggi Intan Rustantya
Pages : 185-191

Initiating Quality-Based Elementary School in Indonesia to Prepare Competent Human Resources in Welcoming AFTA (ASEAN Free Trade Area) and MEA (ASEAN Economic Community)
Authors : Slamet Widodo
Pages : 192-201

Improving Students’ Achievement in Reading Comprehension Through “Collaborative Strategic Reading (CSR)” at STKIP Muhammadyah Sorong
Authors : Doni Sudibyo
Pages : 202-209

Correlation The Phenomenon of Students Bilingualism in Elementary School With The Global Era
Authors : Dyoty Auliya V. Ghasya
Pages : 210-214

The Reinforcement of Elementary School Students Character in Asean Economic Community and Globalization Era
Authors : Evi Rizqi Salamah
Pages : 215-221

Indonesian Error in The Discussion Process of Sixth Grade Student’s at SDN 1 Galagamba
Authors : Gio Mohamad Johan
Pages : 222-231

Identification Scientific Creativity of Junior High School Students
Authors : Sayyidah Mahtari, Misbah, Syubhan Annur
Pages : 232-237

Practicality Physics Module Class X Using Cooperative Learning Model With a Peer Assessment
Authors : Mustika Wati, Sri Hartini, Hayatul Mu’awwanah
Pages : 238-242
Practicality Physics Module Class X Using Cooperative Learning Model With a Peer Assessment

Mustika Wati¹, Sri Hartini², Hayatul Mu’awwanah³
¹,²,³ Physics Education Study Program Lambung Mangkurat University Banjarmasin, Indonesia
mustika_bjb@yahoo.co.id, t2n_fis@yahoo.com, hayatulmuawwanah@rocketmail.com

Abstract
The low results for students at SMA Muhammadiyah 1 Banjarmasin caused by low student interest. This is due to the school students simply record information from teachers and no modules are used in physics learning. Products developed in the form of Physics module using cooperative learning model research associate with the object of class X-A SMA Muhammadiyah 1 Banjarmasin. Penelitian aims to describe the practicality of the module. Data practicality module obtained through student questionnaire responses of the modules used during learning. Aspects assessed from responses include ease of use, usefulness and efficiency pembelajaran. Teknik time data analysis is a quantitative descriptive analysis module practicality. The results showed that the modules developed practical category.
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1. Introduction
Interest and students’ motivation is very important in the learning activities. Interest in learning will encourage students to learn at their own desires, instead of being forced. If the student already has his own desire to learn, the teacher only needs to guide the students to achieve the learning objectives. Teacher in charge to motivate students with a range of appropriate methods, thus creating a pleasant atmosphere of the class. Pleasant classroom atmosphere will increase student interest.

Most of the teaching and learning activities in schools are not in line with expectations above, included in SMA Muhammadiyah 1 Banjarmasin. Based on observations conducted by researchers at SMA Muhammadiyah 1 Banjarmasin, it appears that the subjects Physics is one of the subjects that are not liked by the students especially in Class X. This is because the subjects of Physics is considered as the most difficult subjects and boring. During each following study, students only get a lecture from the teacher so that students are less active during learning. Classroom-based assessment system undertaken by the teacher can make students receive immediate feedback, when vituperation Feedback is very important to be accepted immediately by students for students to know the lack of learning. By because it is required in addition to the assessment of assessments accompanying teachers. In addition, students only recorded material presented by the teacher. So the need to find a teaching system that can be used by students to learn independently, by learning who was accompanied by a textbook in the form of modules.

Modules are printed instructional materials designed to be studied independently by study participants. The module is also called the media for independent study because it has been equipped for self-study guide. That is, the reader can do without the presence of the teaching and learning activities directly. Language, patterns, and the nature of the other requirements contained in this module is set up so he pretends to be a "language teacher" or a language teacher who was giving instruction to his disciples. So from that, the media is often
called self-instructional materials. Teachers do not directly teach or teach something to his face-to-face, but enough with these modules (Depdiknas, 2008: 5).

According Surahman (Prastowo, 2015), the module is the smallest unit of learning programs that can be learned by students individually (self instructional), after participants completed a unit in the module, then participants can move forward and study the next module unit. Learning modules, as developed in Indonesia, is a package of learning materials (learning materials), which contains a description of the learning objectives, the sheet guide teachers or instructors explain how to teach the efficient, reading materials for participants, sheets of the answers on sheets of paper work participants and evaluation tools of learning.

So, the module is a media that is designed to be used by the learners to learn independently. Serving the material in the module must be complete and clearly, there are instructions for using the module, there are learning objectives to be achieved, there are exercises to evaluate the achievement of learning objectives.

According to Johnson and colleagues (2012: 4), cooperative learning is a learning process that involves the use of small groups that allow students to work together on it in order to maximize their own learning and learning from each other. The idea is simple, after receiving lessons from teachers, class members are divided into small groups. They then work on a job until all group members successfully understand the material well and finish the job.

Lie in Hosnan (2014: 244) states that the cooperative learning model was developed to achieve at least three learning objectives, ie academic learning outcomes, the acceptance of individual differences, and social skills development. The principle of cooperative learning, namely, (1) positive interdependence, (2) the responsibility of individuals, (3) face to face, (4) communication among members, and (5) evaluation of the group process.

According to Liu and Yuan was quoted by Utomo (2011: 56) that among many alternative assessment methods developed lately is a colleagues assessment. A peer assessment is an assessment technique that involves the students to evaluate the work (performance) with each other with regard to the process and the achievement level of competence that it controls, which are based on objective criteria that have been set.

colleagues assessment is a form of assessment is conducted to obtain feedback information obtained from peers, other than those obtained from the teacher. In the peer review activities involving students access each competency mastery peer group.colleagues assessment applied as a complementary formative assessment, so the colleagues assessment was developed to determine the progress of students' learning progress (Wijayanti, 2010: 132).

Johnson & Johnson in Utomo (2011: 56) states that the reasons for involving students in the assessment include: (1) may be scaling up the quality of decisions taken on the assessment, (2) can increase the commitment of the students to apply the method of assessment is best, (2) can reduce students' attitudes towards feedback resistance, (4) can improve student achievement, (5) can provide great motivation to learn and build a positive learning attitude, and (6) can improve students' self assessment.

As a complement to the assessment, colleagues assessment has many benefits for students, among others: to train the students to have a sense of responsibility, working together in groups. Students become brave reflect performance based standards mastery learning which has been determined and compared with the others, so that raises the spirit of trying to achieve learning goals (Wijayanti, 2015: 132). So, colleagues assessment suitable for use with cooperative learning in physics learning to encourage and increase student motivation and indirectly can be used to evaluate their own work.

2. Research Methods

Practicality modules that have been developed and used after the completion of learning activities can be measured through the implementation of learning modules can be
implemented properly. Practicality modules can be viewed through three aspects of ease of use of the module, modules and efficiency benefits of learning time by using modules developed (Daryanto, 2013). Practicality module will be measured through student questionnaire responses for students who use the modules on the learning process so they can instantly feel the three aspects of the indicator module practicality.

Data practicality module based on student response analysis covering the aspects of ease of use, usefulness and efficiency of learning time. Student response is calculated based on the average in each category. For positive and negative statements given to the statements in the student questionnaire responses were made to the provisions in Table 1.

Table 1 Scoring Positive And Negative Statements Based On The Likert Scale

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>positive statements</th>
<th>Pernyataan negatif</th>
<th>Nilai</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Strongly disagree</td>
<td>Strongly agree</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>agree</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Doubtfull</td>
<td>Doubtfull</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Strongly agree</td>
<td>Strongly disagree</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Ratumanan, 2006: 95)

To calculate the average score of each aspect using the formula: \( X = \frac{\sum X}{n} \)

Where \( X \) is the average score or score empirical every aspect of quality, \( n \) is the number of assessors, and \( \Sigma X \) is the total score of each sub aspects of quality. Scores have been obtained and then adjusted to the range of predetermined criteria, in order to obtain qualitative criteria with the guidelines in Table 2.

Table 2 Criteria for student responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Formula</th>
<th>Average of Score</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>( X &gt; \bar{X}_i + 1,8 \times sb_i )</td>
<td>( X &gt; 4,2 )</td>
<td>Very good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>( \bar{X}_i - 0,6 \times sb_i &lt; X \leq \bar{X}_i + 1,8 \times sb_i )</td>
<td>( 3,4 &lt; X \leq 4,2 )</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>( \bar{X}_i - 0,6 \times sb_i &lt; X \leq \bar{X}_i + 0,6 \times sb_i )</td>
<td>( 2,6 &lt; X \leq 3,4 )</td>
<td>Enough</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>( \bar{X}_i - 1,8 \times sb_i &lt; X \leq \bar{X}_i - 0,6 \times sb_i )</td>
<td>( 1,8 &lt; X \leq 2,6 )</td>
<td>Less</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>( X \leq \bar{X}_i - 1,8 \times sb_i )</td>
<td>( X \leq 1,8 )</td>
<td>Very less</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Widoyoko, 2014: 238)

Description:

\( \bar{X}_i = \text{Average of Score} = \frac{1}{2}(\text{mak} \text{score} + \text{minscore}) \)

\( sb_i = \text{simpagan baku ideal} = \frac{1}{6}(\text{mak} \text{score} - \text{min score}) \)

\( X = \text{empirical score} \)

3. Results And Discussion

Practicality modules measured by student questionnaire responses were distributed after learning modules developed using completed. Respondents consisted of 26 students of class X-A SMA Muhammadiyah 1 Banjarmasin. Students are asked to complete a questionnaire in accordance with its own opinion after studying with a Physics module developed. Results analisisangket student responses in Table 3.
Table 3 Results of the analysis of student questionnaire responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aspect</th>
<th>Average of Score</th>
<th>Maksimal Score</th>
<th>Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ease of Use</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefit</td>
<td>3.86</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time efficiency</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entirety</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the aspect of ease of use is obtained average of score of 3.80 in both categories, the aspect of the benefits obtained mean score of 3.86 in both categories, and the aspect of time efficiency obtained mean score of 4.04 in both categories. Overall obtained a score of 3.90 in both categories.

Based on the analysis (Appendix 14) against the student questionnaire responses, found that the aspects of ease of use is obtained average of score of 3.80 in both categories. This shows that the modules developed using language that is easily understood by high school students of class X and material systematically arranged so as not to confuse the students. This is in accordance with the opinion of Daryanto (2013: 47) modules are used as teaching materials for self-learning, the language used is the language that conditioned conversation as if readers malakukan conversation when I read.

In the aspect of the benefits obtained average of score of 3.86 in both categories. This shows that the modules developed material presented in modules can help students learn independently or with minimal guidance from teachers and correspond to the learning objectives, worksheets contained in the module can be used to train students' understanding, tasks and discussions within the module can be used to determine student learning outcomes. It is as disclosed Daryanto (2013: 9) that the module is one form of teaching materials that are packed full and systematic, are contained within a set of learning experiences are planned and designed to help learners achieve specific learning objectives.

In the aspect of time efficiency obtained average of score of 4.04 in both categories. This shows that learning by using modules developed to help teachers in presenting the material and can be used for self-study outside of school hours so the time to learn to be more efficient. This is consistent with the statement Daryanto (2013: 9) that serves as a means of learning modules that are independent, so that students can learn independently according to their own pace.

Overall the students' response to modules developed given a score of 3.90, with both categories. These results demonstrate the practicalities of the module, the module is practically used by students.

4. Conclusion

Practicality modules in the practical category on the response of students by charging a questionnaire containing aspects of ease of use, usefulness and efficiency of learning time.
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